

The Coming Single Global Currency

Fred R. Coulter—October 25, 2008

I want to talk a little bit about financing. If Obama is elected and installed as President, and is not impeached, and no one brings a case to the Supreme Court to have him dislodged, then you are going to see an astonishing fulfillment of:

Deuteronomy 28:43: “The stranger *dwelling* among you shall get up above you very high, and you shall come down very low.” *We will see an absolute literal profane fulfillment of that!*

Verse 44: “He shall loan to you, and you shall not loan to him. He shall be the head, and you shall be the tail.”

Now let’s talk about economics because they are tied together. You have the political and the economic. I’m going to do some reading about what’s happening, what is likely to take place and why we are having these world meetings that we are having. Today there is a meeting of 43 nations about the economic crisis in the world. It’s not just a crisis in America, *but in the world!*

They’re wanting to know how they can keep from going broke themselves, because the United States was rescued with what they did, and what the Federal Reserve did.

There’s going to be another meeting Nov. 15, 2008, which is going to involve all the major banking nations of the world. It’s going to be quite interesting what they may be talking about.

Let’s understand that with the central banks of the world, they are all modeled after the United States Federal Reserve. With the crisis that took place, the European Common Market and the euro was revealed to be very weak and unable to handle world-currency transactions like the dollar can. As a result, the dollar recovered from a low of .69 up to .85. the euro has dropped down to .130 and the yen has fallen way lower than that.

So, there are some things going on economically that are going to lead to, I think, a different way of coming to the one-world government. That is: install, first of all, a one-world banking system and then a one-world currency. You have to do this in stages. Right now they are calling for a one-world money.

I have an awful lot of material that some of which I’m going to read and some I will summarize.

From: One World, One Money
{<https://www.levitt.com/one-world-one-money>} by Carl
Teichrib, Chief Edition of *Forcing Change*
{forcingchange.org}

“A global economy requires a global currency”—Paul Volcker, former Chair of the US Federal Reserve

He fully supports a single global currency! I also have a book: *A Single Global Currency* by Morrison Bonpasse. They are targeting 2024 for a global currency.

“The great struggle of history has been for the control over money. It is almost tautological to affirm that to control the production and distribution of money is to control the wealth, resources, and people of the world”—Jack Weatherford, anthropologist and author.

Much more so today since we are on an electronic digital movement of monies.

“The control of money and credit strikes at the very heart of national sovereignty”—A.W. Clausen, President of Bank of America.

“Once a nation parts with control of its currency and credit, it matters not who makes the nations laws”—W.L. Mackinsey King, former Prime Minister of Canada.

That is a true statement! It’s recorded that Rothschild said, ‘It matter’s not who is the government as long as I control the money.’ Because the ones controlling the money tell the government what to do. Otherwise they don’t give them money.

All of this brings up an interesting question: Does the world need a global central bank?

I believe that I can tell you how it will happen. It will be far more interesting that you can imagine.

If you want a single world currency, it requires an international banking structure armed with a monetary policy on a planetary scale

That’s what these conferences are about. They are going to setup global standards for the central banks. The Federal Reserve Bank has ended up being the strongest, biggest, the most important of the central banks of the world, though the dollar has taken a hit. It has survived the onslaught of those who wanted to take it down.

Essentially, the requirement for a single global currency is a bank that has power over all countries, kindred, and tongues.

I brought up a little bit about that in Rev. 13 during the Feast of Tabernacles that this will lead to *the mark of the beast*. I also tied that in during the Feast with *Singularity is Near* with Ray Kurzweil. There is a currently a conference led by Ray Kurzweil who wrote the book *The Singularity is Near* with all the major chip-makers in Silicon Valley. It will be interesting to see what the results of that will be.

One man wrote me and said, 'You spent too much time on Kurzweil and you should have preached the Word.' Well, I think we need to know how these things are going to come down and how Satan is planning for his world, as well.

Former Canadian Member of Parliament, Paul Hellyer, criticized this development in 1994, saying that under such a global currency/banking system "the interests of citizens, of individual countries must be subordinate...to the interests of international finance."

"...[countries] would no longer be able to pursue any kind of independent policy. Sovereignty over the most powerful of all economic tools would be turned to an international monster...A world bank run by a world kingship of international appointees collectively not accountable to anyone...."

This was in 1994; they've been planning this for a long time. Every crisis economically brings us a step closer to a single world/global currency.

1984: "I have put forward a radical alternative scheme for the next century: the creation of a common currency for all the industrial democracies with a common monetary policy and a joint Bank of Issue to determine that monetary policy...This proposal is far too radical for the near future, but it could provide a 'vision' or goal which can guide interim steps..."—Richard N. Cooper [professor, Harvard], speaking at a Federal Reserve Bank of Boston conference.

I will tell you about a company that hardly anybody knows about that controls all the stocks and bonds in the world except for just a very few. You probably have never heard of and don't know about, which will have a great deal to do with setting up a world bank.

1998: "...the transition to a single currency for the entire world could come with a speed that might surprise many....— Bryan Taylor, Chief Economist at Global Financial Data.

I think that if it were based primarily on the dollar—we'll throw in the euro and the yen... Remember the trilaterally commission? What did that do? *Brought the United States, Europe and China together!*

All of these organizations have an agenda that they're working on. But we're all so busy down here working, going about our daily activities that we don't know anything of what they're thinking or doing and planning. Then all of a sudden we have a crisis.

What was the latest crisis that we had? *Seven hundred-billion dollar bailout!* Remember, the resistance to it was so strong on Monday—200 to 1—that by the time they got done with all the propagandist news and all the doom and gloom Friday, it was 50/50 to vote to approve it.

So, crises are engineered to forward these policies, and to give the ones who have the money greater control. I don't think that we understand the power of the Federal Reserve Bank.

2001: "When VISA was founded twenty-five years ago, the founders saw the world as needing a Single Global Currency for exchange. Everything we've done from a global perspective has been about trying to put one piece in place after another to fulfill that global vision." — Sarah Perry, Director of VISA's Strategic Investment Program.

2004: "...if the global market economy is to thrive over the decades ahead, a global currency seems the logical concomitant." — Martin Wolf, chief economics commentator for the *Financial Times*, former senior economist at the World Bank.

- Did you know they have a World Bank and an International Monetary Fund?
- What are they doing sitting out here?
- Do they have a global reach?
- How could they be used in the future?
- What could happen to all of a sudden bring forth a World Bank and solve the economic problems of the world almost overnight?

In 2007, the Council on Foreign Relations propelled the idea of a planet-wide currency restructuring by publishing an article in its journal, *Foreign Affairs*, titled "The End of National Currency." [Note: on the cover of this *Foreign Affairs* issue, the article is titled "One World, Too Many Monies."]

Benn Steil, the Director of International Economics at the CFR...

Council on Foreign Relations; they are one of the leading institutions behind the secret government, which runs the United States government. They have their counterparts in all the major countries of the world, who are the ones who have the power. They're the ones who make sure that the ones they want to get in power are elected.

...wrote that national money systems should be abandoned, "Since economic development outside the process of globalization is not longer possible..."

World Trade Organization, all of the free trade organizations that come along. They build and build and what happens? *Now we've got a system!* Now they say that:

...economic development outside the process of globalization is not longer possible..."

Now you must join the global movement!

Stated even more succinctly, "Monetary nationalism is simply incompatible with globalization." And, "In order to globalize safely, countries should abandon monetary nationalism and abolish unwanted currencies..."

So, they float this out there as a trial balloon and a proposition. Then it talks a little bit about the amero and integrating Canada, Mexico and the United States. Another goal of the single global currency:

Morrison Bonpasse, President of the Single Global Currency Association (SGCA) says, "The world is ready to begin preparing for a Single Global Currency, just as Europe prepared for the euro and as the Arabian Gulf countries are preparing for their common currency. After the goal of a Single Global Currency is established by countries representing a significant proportion of the world's GDP, then the project can be pursued like its regional predecessors."

I think that because of what's happened that they will advance to single global currency faster and bypass the regional currencies because of the weakness that is noted in the regional currencies that happened with the euro recently.

Single Global Currency Association
(singleglobalcurrency.org)

HOME PAGE/INTRODUCTION TO SITE:

This is the home page of the Single Global Currency Association, which is dedicated to the goal of implementing a **Single Global Currency**, within a **Global Monetary Union** and managed by a **Global Central Bank** by 2024. We shall achieve this goal through education and persuasion.

We believe that once the peoples (including their corporations and labor and other organizations) of the world understand the benefits of a **Single Global Currency**, they will demand it from their governments. The **Single Global Currency** is what the peoples of the world need, and it is what they want.

A **Single Global Currency** would likely have a new name which denotes its global use, such as "mundo", "global", or "eartha" just as the "euro" is currently for Europe. It likely would not be the expanded form of any current currency, such as the dollar or euro or yen...

I think they could start out by combining the dollar, euro and yen!

...unless those currencies opened up their central banks' governing boards to worldwide participation and agreed to change the name of the currency to one with more global meaning.

With the use of a **Single Global Currency**, there would be no more need for expensive currency exchanges nor expensive hedges against currency fluctuations. Gone would be currency speculation and the risk of currency failures and balance of payment problems. Such a currency would therefore be more efficient as a means of conveying true value, without consideration of the political winds of the day.

The Single Global Currency would be managed by a single global central bank, with representative governing boards for the people, governments and financial institutions of the world.

Implementing a **Single Global Currency** for international transactions **AND** as legal tender in participating countries, does not mean that other currencies cannot co-exist with such a Single Global Currency....

So, they phase it in, just like they did with the euro. Then it talks about the euro:

The Global Central Bank would be financed by whatever benefits will come from the printing of money and seigniorage. Any surplus monetary benefits coming to the bank would be allocated to politically agreed-upon goals, such as the reduction of foreign debt or the eradication of disease or the support of family planning.

Mark *reduction of foreign debt!* That is the key, I believe, on how they're going to do it.

As it's thought by many **economists** that a **Single Global Currency** will be good for the people of the world and as many economists and non-economists expect a Single Global Currency "someday", why not obtain the benefits thereof sooner rather than later?....

So, they're going to push it!

It will surely benefit all the people of all the countries of the world if there is a **Single Global Currency**, just as it currently benefits California and Maine to be in the same monetary union with the U.S. dollar, and for Germany and Portugal to be in the European Monetary Union with the euro. "*Let's start planning, NOW!*"

Then they will ask those who specialize in that to do the planning and push forward for it.

Also from singleglobalcurrency.org:

Why a Single Global Currency (SGC)?

The world needs a global currency for at least ten reasons.

Then we'll see what happens to the Global Central Bank and how they make money and how I think they can set it up so everyone would be willing to go along with it.

1. Eliminate the direct and indirect transaction costs of trading from one currency to another.

The currency exchange gives a percentage to the exchangers.

2. Eliminate the **Balance of Payments/Current Account** problems of all countries.

We would not be sending \$700-billion off to the Arabs for our oil! Even though we've paid them \$700-billion it doesn't come back as a negative on us anymore than the little bit that California sends to Maine takes away from Maine's economy, or the little bit that Maine sends here adds to the California economy. It will be one currency!

3. Eliminate the **risk of currency failure, currency risk.**

4. Eliminate the **uncertainty of changes in value** due to exchange-caused fluctuations in currency value and the costs of hedging to protect against such fluctuations.

Example: there was a time when the Australian dollar was about 97% of one US dollar when the dollar hit a low. If you then made a transaction say of a \$10,000 wire transfer from Australia to the U.S. it would cost you a little over \$10,000 Australian dollars to convert that into \$10,000 U.S. dollars. Now with the current account being at .68 to the dollar—two-thirds of a dollar—now in order to send \$10,000 to America, it will cost you instead of a little over \$10,000-Aus. It will cost nearly \$14,000-Aus. That type of thing would be completely eliminated.

5. Cause an increase in the **value of assets** for those countries currently afflicted with significant country risk.

6. Eliminate the **misalignment of currencies.**

7. Utilize the **seigniorage benefit** and control of printing money for the operations of the global central bank and for public benefit.

Dictionary definition of *seigniorage*:

- 1) something claimed or taken by a sovereign or other superior as his just right or due
- 2) any profits or charges arising from the minting of gold and silver from bullion usually the difference between the face value and the intrinsic value

Also: The dominion, rights or authority of a senior or feudal lord lordship. The extent or territory covered by this; a body of lords, especially those of Medieval Italian Republic.

What are we talking about? *If we have world/global bank, we are going to have princes or lords sitting on it!* They are going to, with the transactions and making the money, accumulate fees.

Keep that in mind the Global Bank is a means so everyone is going to share the wealth; they're doing it for profit for themselves, the elite moneymakers. This means that they will benefit the most, because they won't have to have losses in trading currencies and buying stocks and bonds. They will have a fee on every transaction that is made.

8. Eliminate the need for countries or monetary unions to maintain **international reserves** of other currencies.

9. Reduce worldwide **inflation** to a planned low rate of approximately 2% and thereby ensure low **loan interest rates**.

All of these are compelling reasons!

10. Confirm or implement a basic, **fundamental human right**, as noted by Ludwig Erhard, just as is the fundamental human right to own property.

Transaction costs

This ties in with #7

Every day, more than 1.4 trillion dollars of currencies are traded on the foreign exchange markets and every transaction cost something. It's estimated that the average cost of all transactions, including all the staff and equipment, is .33%, or approximately \$1 trillion per year....

A little money here and a little money there adds up to real 'dough' later on!

...Although .33% is a small percentage, \$1 trillion is a lot of money in a world where millions go to bed hungry every day.

In addition there is an indirect cost with every transaction of time, inconvenience and mistake.

Questions come up about labor rates and cost of working an hour. It fluctuates, but they're gradually trying to coordinate them so they will all flush out the same. That means those making a lot of money will come down, and those making no money will come out, but it will take years to bring that about. Here is a possible way of doing it at an early stage.

The Monetary Linking of Major Currencies

Robert Mundell has suggested at the World Economic Forum and elsewhere, that three major currencies—the dollar, euro, yen—could be linked together and pegged to each other at a fixed rate. Meaning that there would be no balance of payments between America, Europe and Japan. In order to do that, there would have to be an agreement among the three central banks to march to the same drummers.

In other words, associate close together for a future merger!

That would still leave the other countries and currencies of the world floating, but it would be a more stable currency exchange world.

This is what the conference that is being held with 43 nations talking about how they can come out of

all the financial problems that are the result of what the Americans did with the sub-prime loans and other greed. I thought it was most interesting that Greenspan came up and blamed all Wall Street, but he didn't blame Fanny Mae and Freddy Mac that he setup to do. There are a lot of politics in there. 'Who, me? I was surprised; I didn't know that this would happen!' *Well, you're the head of the Federal Reserve.* 'Yes, I'm a genius, that's correct.'

Watch the meeting of Nov. 15; that's going to be a key meeting. They are going to talk about coordinating the policies between the major central banks of the world to avoid the kinds of problems that we have had.

Let's shift to something else related, but different, and it will be brought in to help underwrite the World Bank for a single global currency.

Have you ever wondered how it is that the united States keeps borrowing trillions and trillions of dollars? Everyone says, 'Wow! How are we going to pay this back?' *I'll tell you how!* The Federal Reserve holds all of those notes. What is the backing? *Not the good faith of the United States of America!* Bankers always demand collateral. As I've mentioned before, the other unknown corporation—which is the United States of America Corp., the legal entity that borrows from the Federal Reserve—has given up its right. Congress gave up it's right to produce the money at no cost and gave it to the Federal Reserve, which is a private bank having nothing to do with the Federal Government at all, and was signed into law by President Woodrow Wilson.

If you want history on that, you can go read that. There are many books out about it, and everyone rails against the Federal Reserve and all of that sort of thing. But they are in control and they're a whole lot more powerful than you ever thought that they would be. They don't reveal how much money they really have, because they don't have to give a public audit because they are not a publicly held corporation.

In conjunction with this, there is another unknown \$23-trillion company that has assets of \$23-trillion and no debt. It's located in America and operates in New York City. It is called The Depository Trust and Clearing Corporation. You can go on the news log of Flemming Funch and also The Depository Trust and Clearing Corporation websites.

From unknown source:

He said, "It looks a little boring, some kind of financial service slogan and out there it makes a little business. You can even get a job there."

Go take a look at their annual reports. It starts with a nice little flash presentation and has a nice message from the CEO. Take a look at the numbers. It turns out that this company holds \$23-trillion in assets, and had \$917-trillion worth of transactions in 2002.

Add all of that up to more than what we have today, that is in thousands of thousands millions—\$23-trillion and no debt!

- How did that happen?
- What do we know about this?
- Who are these people?
- What do they do?

They process the vast majority of all stock transactions in the United States, as well as for many other countries.

That's the real interesting part!

Ninety-nine percent of all stock in the U.S. appear to be legally owned by them.

In the past, if you bought stocks you went to a broker and you bought them and about ten days later you would get the stocks certified from the company with your name on the stock as owner.

But in order to accommodate electronic transfer of stocks, they created this company and it *owns* proprietary rights to all the stocks—and we can say 99% of them in the world—because they all come through the United States. The other little stock markets overseas don't amount to much.

They hold them in the title of CEDE and company. What is that? *A fictitious name, and if you look up the real meaning of CEDE, it means to cede the right to someone else.* When people go and buy stocks, and they sign up with a broker and sign an agreement, they say to read the fine print. They always say, 'the devil is in the details.' Well the Devil is in the whole thing in this, not just in the details. You give them ownership of the stock! The stock never leaves the Depository Trust Corporation. All you get is an electronic entry and you get... Just like a car, when you have a car and you owe a bank, they get the title and the bank owns the car and you have the beneficiary use of it until it's paid for.

Even though you've paid for the stock, you cede it—surrendering your ownership rights—to this Depository Trust Corp. and they hold it for you.

This is all very convenient and really for your own good, because you can buy and sell and you don't have to worry about the stock. However, it gives all the assets to this corporation. It's not know

very well, but this is owned by *The Federal Reserve Bank!*

The sham you saw of the \$700-billion thing recently was all window dressing for the Fed so that people would not begin asking the Federal Reserve where they get all their money. They play like poor little boys, just transacting a little bit here and we print the money and the notes, which then the government owes us all this money and we lend. 'We're very happy to give you \$11-trillion in debt. We own you, and we own the debt. We own the Depository Trust Co.

Note that the Federal Reserve does not have to give a public accounting of their monies. So, you look at that \$700-billion transaction that Congress passed recently and then you look at how much the Fed is pouring out now in hundreds of billions of dollars, way beyond the \$700-billion that they asked for.

- Where are they getting the money?
- Are they loaning to themselves because they have the Depository Trust Corp. of \$23-trillion in assets?

If you did the leverage of ten, then you would take the 23 times 90 and you could create that much money in addition.

- How did the Fed have so much money to buy preferred stock in all of these big banks? *Because they have it already, and they're not telling you!*

They make a transaction fee on everything that goes through, which is \$917-trillion worth of entries this past year. I don't know what the fee would be; maybe one-tenth of one percent would make you \$900-billion for the year just on a paper transaction. You do nothing but click, click, click and all the stocks going through electronically.

Now let's carry this through a little bit further: This is also tied into the International Monetary Fund. By Presidential Executive Order, the President can order the Depository Trust Corp. not to honor any of the transactions, and they own all of the stocks, because it was ceded to them by the beneficiary holder.

So, if you think you own stocks, you have beneficiary use of the dividends that are paid, and the money that you make if it increases, and the money that you lose if it decreases, but they own it.

This is absolute *lordship serfdom!* Go back over the sermon: *Monetary Dictatorship & Prophecy* and multiply it by a couple of trillion dollars and that's where we sit!

So, know for sure that though we're talking *global recession* they're not going to let this last long because they're making too much money. They just want more control to come by it.

Why are we having economic problems right now? *Because there are a lot of liars and cheats involved in the whole system, which they did not control!* The Depository holds them there so that if the stock loses money then the value of their assets goes down. But if the stocks make money, the value goes up, and you—as a beneficiary holder title of it—get the increase if you sell, or you take the hit if you lose. If there's a dividend to be paid, they pay you minus a transaction fee, which they keep.

When the stock market goes down they lose in assets. They don't want a total crash, but remember that they make money going down and going up. When this first came out in 2007 I said that they are going into oil futures, and they're driving the price of oil up so that they make money going up so they can replenish the money that they lost. With the exception of Lehman Brothers, it was so bad that they had to flush it out, and Lehman Bros. is gone!

If you saw a picture of the CEO of Lehman Bros. you would see a picture of total evil and cheating. That's why they flushed it out. And it's to their advantage to keep us in a state of fear, because they want to do something.

A woman came to buy a plot for her son who at 45-years-old committed suicide because he lost all of his money in the stock debacle. Who keeps the money? The stock goes down to two cents, who owns the stock? *The Depository Trust Co.!* What if, after you have lost everything, the price for the stock comes up from ten cents to \$60, who gets all the profit? *It is shared by the brokers who resell the stock that the Depository Trust Co. has, and they just change the title!* They just change the beneficiary, the user of the stock, and they get a fee after it's all up there.

- the brokers make money
- the buyers and sellers of stock make money
- the Depository Trust Co. makes money

This is why they had the great rush, because they did not want to expose the full amount of what the Federal Reserve has, nor did they want the Depository Trust Co. to get into deep trouble.

It shows how this began in this paper. They ran a test Oct. 19, 1987, when the Dow Jones fell 508 points, losing 22% of it's total value. That was done in a test.

From the book: *Operation Rebirth America*
by America Publications {amazon.com}

During our telephone conversation, Mr. McNeff was trying to assure our researcher that they have never lost a certificate or made a mistake in a book ledger transaction. In attempting to give an example of how trustworthy they are, he said, "DTC is when I asked him how he could back up such a statement, he replied "DTC's first controlled test was 4 or 5 years ago. Do you remember Black Monday? There were 535 million transactions on Monday, and 400 million transactions on Tuesday"....

That's 1987! Now they have it setup with high-speed super computers that they can do over a billion transactions a day. Think of the money that is made the fee from every one of those transactions! Never reported; you'll never know about it.

...He was very proud to inform me that "DTC cleared every transaction without a single glitch!" Read these quotes again: He stated that "Black Monday" was a controlled test! "Black Monday" was a deliberately manipulated disaster for many Americans at the whim of a "controlled test" by the DTC and their Federal Reserve Corporation owners.

On February 22, 1996, "the DTC will flip the switch" according to Mr. McNeff. What switch we asked? This is the day that "clearing house" funds will no longer be accepted for stock or bond transactions. Instead, only "Fed Funds" will be accepted. Fed Funds, or a "Fed Wire," are electronic ledger transfers between Federal Reserve Corporation member banks....

Fed Funds only! That's why they have to run by the U.S. dollar!

...No checks or drafts will be involved or allowed from that day on. This is called a "cashless transaction." We call it the reality of the "mark of the beast." This is the manifestation of our new god, the New World Order.

The final thing coming up to it; then he shows how it was done.

Let me just tell you how they can bring about a World Bank very quickly. This is the world DTC, the most powerful financial corporation in the world. They hold all these stocks, and the Federal Reserve also holds all of the treasury certificates and notes from the borrowings of the Federal Government and other governments around the world that are in debt to their Federal Reserve System. So, here's how they could setup the World

Bank very quickly. Not unite them politically, but get the single global currency moving.

They could free the United States from its debt by saying, 'Since we hold the debt as an asset, we are going to transfer that asset to the new World Bank; likewise, from the other central banks that join. We will take a certain percentage of the money that we make—you keep paying the interest on the debt—we will pay down the principle gradually,' which they can make two or three trillion dollars a year.

So, all of a sudden we will be debt-free. All the nations of the world are debt-free. Talk about an economic boom! Then let's require all of the banks—starting out by expanding the International Monetary Fund, because it's already setup to handle international transactions—of the world pledge, because you can't move it, so much gold and silver as a backing for additional assets. We will capitalize this World Bank with the greatest capitalization of the starting of any bank in the history of the world. You will start reaping the benefits of those ten steps that I just read to you on why you need a single global currency.

With everyone burdened down with debt, governments burdened down with debt, what do you think their answer is going to be? *I think they'll say yes!* I think they can bring this in before the amero, because they have now discovered the weaknesses of these regional currencies and they can't stand up in a time of crisis. The regional central banks do not have the clout or the money or the backing of the Federal Reserve, and they will be the power behind. On paper it will shift its power and assets to the International Monetary Fund, but they indeed will control it.

Then they will have a new Board of Directors that will set the policy represented by whatever nations will be represented, and then they will come out with this plan and they will say 'peace and prosperity.'

One other thing that is interesting with this is that if the International Monetary Fund becomes the World Bank and controls all these assets, no nation can make war, because you need money to make war.

Peace and security; I just leave that for you to think on and watch. Don't get bored by all this economic and financial news. It's heading somewhere big time and will end up with *the mark of the beast*. The last step that we can live with before *the mark of the beast* will be some sort of currency or transaction card.

When they go to the chips in the hand and in the forehead then we cannot. You tie that together

with Ray Kurzweil's conference going on in Silicon Valley with all the leading chipmakers. You look at what is happening economically and the chaos that is in the world, and just think what a wondrous plan this would be. Remember, it says that 'he takes the kingdom by deceit with flattering words' (Dan. 11).

Addendum/Post Script concerning the World Bank and the single global currency:

Please understand that what I brought out concerning it may be quite a few years ahead of us. But nevertheless, I've given this so that you can watch the news and see what is happening, and see the progression that they are making in the steps that they are developing toward it. The meetings that have taken place and those that are coming are laying the groundwork for the foundation on which the World Bank will be built when they're read dot bring it in.

Nevertheless, they must first develop the systems so that they are in place before they bring on the bank. They can't bring on the bank and then bring in the system. So, the coordinated system of all the countries working together financially in the banking system must first be accomplished, and that is also a step-by-step basis.

Nevertheless, we do know in the final analysis that the mark of the beast is coming, and all of these are steps leading up to it!

Scriptural Reference: Deuteronomy 28:43-44

Scriptures referenced, not quoted:

- Revelation 13
- Daniel 11

Also referenced:

Articles: [One World, One Money](https://www.levitt.com/one-world-one-money)
{<https://www.levitt.com/one-world-one-money>} by Carl Teichrib, Chief Edition of *Forcing Change* {forcingchange.org}

Books:

- *A Single Global Currency* by Morrison Bonpasse
- *The Singularity is Near* by Ray Kurzweil
- *Operation Rebirth America* by America Publications {amazon.com}

Sermon Series: *Singularity is Near*

Sermon: *Monetary Dictatorship & Prophecy*

FRC:bo
Transcribed: 5/17/18